

Certification of Primary Stroke Centers and Outcomes

Judith H. Lichtman, PhD, MPH

Yale University School of Medicine,
Department of Epidemiology and Public Health

October 27, 2011

Disclosure:
Received research funding from NINDS

Joint Commission

www.jointcommission.org



[Log In](#) | [Request Guest Access](#) [Contact Us](#) | [Careers](#)
[Forgot password?](#)

Joint Commission Web Sites ▼

Learn how to become [Accredited](#) | [Certified](#) Search

Go

[Accreditation](#) [Certification](#) [Standards](#) [Measurement](#) [Press Room](#) [Topics](#) [About Us](#) [Daily Update](#)

Top Links

[Top Performers](#)

[Sentinel Event Alert, Issue 47](#)

[Joint Commission Online, October 5, 2011](#)

[Speak Up Video](#)

Friday 4:54 CST, October 7, 2011

The Joint Commission and American Heart Association Announce Enhanced Certification Alliance Wednesday October 5, 2011



American Heart Association
American Stroke Association
CERTIFIED

Meets standards for
Primary Stroke Center



American Heart Association
CERTIFIED

Meets standards for
**Advanced Certification
in Heart Failure**

The Joint Commission and the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association are enhancing their alliance, originally formed in 2003, to help organizations provide more comprehensive and appropriate care for patients at Certified Primary Stroke Centers and Heart Failure Programs nationwide. [Learn More](#)

[More Recent Updates](#)

Action Center

- [Log In - Joint Commission Connect](#)
- [Find an Accredited or Certified Organization](#)
- [Ask a Standards Question](#)
- [Report a Complaint about a Health Care Organization](#)
- [Sign up for News and Alerts](#)

Most Popular

Viewed

Searched

1. [National Patient Safety](#)

Do PSC Hospitals Have Better Outcomes?

What is the evidence in the US?

Association Between Stroke Center Hospitalization for Acute Ischemic Stroke and Mortality

Ying Xian, MD, PhD

Robert G. Holloway, MD, MPH

Paul S. Chan, MD, MSc

Katia Noyes, PhD, MPH

Manish N. Shah, MD, MPH

Henry H. Ting, MD, MBA

Andre R. Chappel, BA

Eric D. Peterson, MD, MPH

Bruce Friedman, PhD, MPH

STROKE IS THE LEADING CAUSE OF serious long-term disability and the third leading cause of mortality in the United States.¹ Responding to the need for improvements in acute stroke care, the Brain Attack Coalition (BAC) published recommendations for the establishment of primary stroke centers in 2000.² In December 2003, the Joint Commission began certifying stroke centers based on BAC criteria.³ Now, nearly 700 of the 5000 acute care hospitals in the United States are Joint Commission–certified stroke centers.⁴ Some states, such as New York, Massachusetts, and Florida, have established their own designation programs using the BAC core criteria.

Context Although stroke centers are widely accepted and supported, little is known about their effect on patient outcomes.

Objective To examine the association between admission to stroke centers for acute ischemic stroke and mortality.

Design, Setting, and Participants Observational study using data from the New York Statewide Planning and Research Cooperative System. We compared mortality for patients admitted with acute ischemic stroke (n=30947) between 2005 and 2006 at designated stroke centers and nondesignated hospitals using differential distance to hospitals as an instrumental variable to adjust for potential pre-hospital selection bias. Patients were followed up for mortality for 1 year after the index hospitalization through 2007. To assess whether our findings were specific to stroke, we also compared mortality for patients admitted with gastrointestinal hemorrhage (n=39409) or acute myocardial infarction (n=40024) at designated stroke centers and nondesignated hospitals.

Main Outcome Measure Thirty-day all-cause mortality.

Results Among 30947 patients with acute ischemic stroke, 15297 (49.4%) were admitted to designated stroke centers. Using the instrumental variable analysis, admission to designated stroke centers was associated with lower 30-day all-cause mortality (10.1% vs 12.5%; adjusted mortality difference, -2.5%; 95% confidence interval [CI], -3.6% to -1.4%; $P < .001$) and greater use of thrombolytic therapy (4.8% vs 1.7%; adjusted difference, 2.2%; 95% CI, 1.6% to 2.8%; $P < .001$). Differences in mortality also were observed at 1-day, 7-day, and 1-year follow-up. The outcome differences were specific for stroke, as stroke centers and nondesignated hospitals had similar 30-day all-cause mortality rates among those with gastrointestinal hemorrhage (5.0% vs 5.8%; adjusted mortality difference, +0.3%; 95% CI, -0.5% to 1.0%; $P = .50$) or acute myocardial infarction (10.5% vs 12.7%; adjusted mortality difference, +0.1%; 95% CI, -0.9% to 1.1%; $P = .83$).

Conclusion Among patients with acute ischemic stroke, admission to a designated stroke center was associated with modestly lower mortality and more frequent use of thrombolytic therapy.

JAMA. 2011;305(4):373-380

www.jama.com

- 30,947 in NY
- 18+ yrs
- 104 state-SCs

Table 3. Mortality at Designated Stroke Centers and Nondesignated Hospitals

	No. (%)		Adjusted Mortality Difference (95% CI) ^a	P Value
	Designated Stroke Center (n = 15 297)	Nondesignated Hospital (n = 15 650)		
1 d	90 (0.6)	134 (0.9)	-0.3 (-0.6 to -0.0)	.04
7 d	665 (4.3)	842 (5.4)	-1.3 (-2.1 to -0.6)	.001
30 d	1543 (10.1)	1951 (12.5)	-2.5 (-3.6 to -1.4)	<.001
1 y	3412 (22.3)	4067 (26.0)	-3.0 (-4.4 to -1.5)	<.001

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.


^aNegative values indicate lower mortality at designated stroke center vs nondesignated hospital. Adjusted for age, sex, race, health insurance status, rural status, 13 Charlson comorbid conditions, atrial fibrillation, hospital teaching status, and total number of hospital beds by using the instrumental variable analysis.

- SCs had significantly lower mortality, adjusted for patient and hospital characteristics
- Unable to adjust for stroke severity

What about hemorrhagic stroke?


- To determine whether hemorrhagic stroke patients treated at hospitals with a JC-certified PSC have better outcomes than patients treated at non-certified hospitals.
- Medicare FFS beneficiaries in 2006
- Primary discharge diagnosis of subarachnoid (ICD-9 430) or intracerebral (ICD-9 431) hemorrhage

Hemorrhagic Stroke



- Unadjusted in-hospital and 30-day mortality were lower for ICH and SAH patients treated at JC-certified PSC hospitals
- 30-day readmission was similar

Hemorrhagic Stroke: Risk-adjusted results




- SAH and ICH patients treated at JC-PSCs had lower 30-day mortality
- No difference seen for 30-day readmission

Causality: does certification lead to improved outcomes?




Cross-sectional studies assessing the impact of Primary Stroke Center certification on outcomes could be biased if these centers had relatively better outcomes prior to certification




Do JCAHO Primary Stroke Centers Have Better Outcomes Prior to Certification?

- Compare risk adjusted 30-day mortality and readmission rates for ischemic stroke patients treated at JC-PSC versus other hospitals at least 2 years before certification began
- Medicare FFS data in 2002
- 317 hospitals received JCAHO-certification within the first two years of the program

Early Certified vs Noncertified




Risk-adjusted results



- Patients treated at hospitals that became JC-PSCs had better outcomes before the certification began

- It is unclear whether better outcomes for stroke patients treated at PSC hospitals is due to the certification program per se, or if other factors, such as self selection to become a PSC, may play a role.
- Future studies examining the effects of JC-PSC certification should adjust for differences in patient outcomes that may have existed prior to certification.

Does certification identify hospitals already organized to provide better care?



Does certification “guarantee” better outcomes?



Outcomes after ischemic stroke for hospitals with and without Joint Commission–certified primary stroke centers



J.H. Lichtman, PhD
S.B. Jones, MPH
Y. Wang, PhD
E. Watanabe, MPH
E. Leifheit-Limson, PhD
L.B. Goldstein, MD

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Judith H. Lichtman, Yale University School of Medicine, PO Box 208034, New Haven, CT 06520
judith.lichtman@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

Background: The Joint Commission (JC) began certifying primary stroke centers (PSCs) in the United States in 2003. We assessed whether 30-day risk-standardized mortality (RSMR) and readmission (RSRR) rates differed between hospitals with and without JC-certified PSCs in 2006.

Methods: The study cohort included all fee-for-service Medicare beneficiaries ≥ 65 years old discharged with a primary diagnosis of ischemic stroke (International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision, Clinical Modification 433, 434, 436) in 2006. Hierarchical linear regression models calculated hospital-level RSMRs and RSRRs, adjusting for patient demographics, comorbid conditions, and hospital referral region. Hospitals were categorized as being higher than, no different from, or lower than the national average.


Results: There were 310,381 ischemic stroke discharges from 315 JC-certified PSC and 4,231 noncertified hospitals. Mean overall 30-day RSMR and RSRR were $10.9\% \pm 1.7\%$ and $12.5\% \pm 1.4\%$, respectively. The RSMRs of hospitals with JC-certified PSCs were lower than in noncertified hospitals ($10.7\% \pm 1.7\%$ vs $11.0\% \pm 1.7\%$), but the RSRRs were comparable ($12.5\% \pm 1.3\%$ vs $12.4\% \pm 1.7\%$). Almost half of JC-certified PSC hospitals had RSMRs lower than the national average compared with 19% of noncertified hospitals, but 13% of JC-certified PSC hospitals had lower RSRRs vs 15% of noncertified hospitals.

Conclusions: Hospitals with JC-certified PSCs had lower RSMRs compared with noncertified hospitals in 2006; however, differences were small. Readmission rates were similar between the 2 groups. PSC certification generally identified better-performing hospitals for mortality outcomes, but some hospitals with certified PSCs may have high RSMRs and RSRRs whereas some hospitals without PSCs have low rates. Unmeasured factors may contribute to this heterogeneity.

Neurology® 2011;76:1-1

- Medicare beneficiaries with ischemic stroke in 2006
- Assessed hospital-level risk adjusted 30-day outcomes
- JC-PSCs versus non-certified hospitals


Figure 1 Frequency distribution of 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) and risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) by Joint Commission (JC)-certified primary stroke center (PSC) status



- Risk-standardized mortality slightly lower for JC-PSCs compared with non-certified hospitals
- Readmission was similar
- Greater hospital-level variation in readmission for JC-PSCs

(A) Box and whisker plots of RSMR and RSRR by JC-certified PSC status. The upper boundaries of the boxes represent the 75th percentile, the lines bisecting the boxes represent the median or 50th percentile, and the lower boundaries of the boxes represent the 25th percentile. The lower and upper boundaries of the whiskers are set at the 5th and 95th percentiles, with dots representing hospitals beyond these percentiles. (B) Frequency distributions of RSMR and RSRR by JC-certified PSC status.

Figure 2 Categorization of hospital-level risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) and risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) relative to the national average by Joint Commission (JC)-certified primary stroke center (PSC) status



- Categorize hospital performance relative to the national average
- Certification identified more high performing hospitals, *but* considerable overlap..... particularly for 30-day readmission

Editorial: Stroke Centers

Proof of Concept and the Concept of Proof

“Even hospital that do not become stroke centers are adopting some of the best practices shown to be beneficial”

“With the gradual change in the level of care in many hospitals, it might be a challenge to further prove some of the benefits of stroke centers.”

Next Steps.....



Next Steps.....

- Identify factors contributing to improved outcomes
- Examine differences in case mix/stroke severity
- Does certification benefit all patients?



Difference by Patient Factors?

Escalating Levels of Access to In-Hospital Care and Stroke Mortality
Saposnik, *Stroke* 2008; 39:2522-30

Do All age Groups Benefit From Organized Inpatient Stroke Care?
Saposnik, *Stroke* 2009; 40:3321-27

Do all ischemic stroke subtypes benefit from organized inpatient stroke care?
Smith, *Neurology* 2010; 75:456-62

AHA Presidential Advisory:

Hospital Certification for Optimizing Cardiovascular Disease and Stroke Quality of Care and Outcomes

- Quality of stroke care varies, missed opportunities to implement evidence-based care
- Hospital certification programs have the potential to ensure quality patient care, patient safety, and favorable outcomes
- Provide highly visible distinction for hospitals that achieve high standards of performance in care.


Advisory Recommendations:

- Standardize objective, unbiased assessment of hospital structural, process, and outcomes performance
- Establish transparent evidence-based indicators and criteria
- Form benchmarked thresholds for performance
- Give highly visible distinction to high performers
- Provide QI programs to assist hospitals
- Further research to identify best strategies

Pop Quiz!


On average, do stroke patients treated at PSCs have better outcomes than patients treated at non-certified centers?

1. A: Yes
2. B: No
3. C: Unclear from current evidence



Have we determined that PSC certification leads to improved outcomes?



1. A: Yes
2. B: No
3. C: Jury is still out



Final Question!

The factors contributing to improved outcomes have been identified and can be replicated across institutions.

1. True
2. False





Thank You!