Rapid E-mail Feedback After Thrombolysis at an Academic Center in New York City



Sara K. Rostanski, MD; Crismely Perdomo, MSN; Vepuka Kauari, MSN; Olajide Williams, MD; Joshua Stillman, MD New York Presbyterian Hospital-Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY



BACKGROUND

- ♦Treatment of acute stroke is highly time sensitive
 ♦Rapid performance feedback is one of the 11
 Target: Stroke Best Practices
- ◆Recent studies show novel feedback interventions can improve treatment timelines
- Email has not been assessed as a modality for providing rapid feedback to a multidisciplinary treatment team

HYPOTHESIS

- Rapid email feedback will improve DTN and component times
- Email feedback is an effective way to engage ED nursing in thrombolysis process improvements

METHODS

- Prospective study comparing median DTN and component times before and after the initiation of an email feedback intervention in April 2015
- Starting in April 2015, the timelines for all tPA treated cases in ED at Columbia University Medical Center were reviewed concurrently
- •We designed a novel feedback form that summarizes the timeline and treatment team for each case. The form is emailed to the multidisciplinary care team within 48 hours. Institutional time goals are color-coded
- We also assessed the impact of the feedback process on ED nursing practice via a survey with 10 Likert-type questions

RESULT!

Table 1. Relevant Time Intervals Pre and Post Intervention

	Pre-intervention 1/13-5/16 (N=149)	Post-intervention 4/15-10/15 (N=33)	0.79 0.83	
DTM, min"	50 [41-62]	50 [39-65]		
Deer-to-CT, min'	20 [15-31]	16 [13-21]		
CT-to-tPA, min*	28 [19-39]	35 [20-47]	0.04	
Door-to-stroke page, min'	2 [0-8]	0 [2-3]	<0.01	
DMT si 60 min (%)	111 (74.5)	23 (28.8)	0.66	
Door-to-CT S 25 min (%)	103 (69.1)	28 (84.8)	0.09	

Table 2. Survey Results from 16 ED Nurses on their Experienc

	Agree or strongly agree	Disagree or strongly disagree
I always read the feedback emails	87.5%	9%
The feedback ornalis have improved 60 teamwork	44%	13%
My practice has changed due to the feedback oreals.	40%	20%
The feedback emails are supportive of my practice	78%	13%
My recognition of strake is improved by the emails	53%	13%
The feedback emails have improved patient care	56%	6%

Figure 1. Example tPA Feedback Form

	CUI	MC tP	A Fe	edback Form	
	WHEN .			Team Members	
	Arrived States	90	2	SD Trage NV.	
	Last Scores cormal:			\$2 Resident Milanding	
	Persolification	FDW		Neuro Resident	
	00 series.	210	AM	ED AN	
	Stroke page		AM	Stroke NECY Fellow:	
	Stroke been at best	10	AW	\$2 Plantalet	
	CT interpreted.	2.16	AN	CT Suit	
	of the bank and	2.90	AM	Stroke Attending	
			200	Con	needs
	Soor to Stroke Page	0.02.00		No pro-restitution-made	
	Sear-te-CT	0.50,00			
45	Dear to N WA. (279 Stee)	1.40.00		ERC reside prior to PA (chemisterings), No PCC and	
				Case Details Sendos 9 Trage companies CUA, Russiani assimass, stumpt aper Chipatel Impressors CUA/C Chipatel Impressors Estatus APREL CHIPATEL CONTROL CONTROL	

PESILITS

- \$33 patients received tPA post-intervention compared with 149 in the pre-intervention period
- There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics in pre and post-intervention groups
- Door-to-stroke page and door-to-CT times were shorter post-intervention; CT-to-tPA time was longer
- There was no change in DTN time postintervention
- ♦ED nurses were satisfied with feedback emails

DISCUSSION

- This is the first study to look at email feedback and time to treatment in acute stroke
- tPA delivery process changes take time; it is likely we did not observe a difference in DTN with only 6 months of data
- Survey results suggest feedback emails are a useful tool to both foster collaboration with ED nursing and develop ED process changes

CONCLUSION

- Based on the nurses' responses, email may be an effective way to provide rapid feedback and
- More experience is needed to assess the impact of this feedback intervention
- Further study of the CT-to-tPA time interval is needed to determine factors that may prolong decision-making